

School Streets (Batch 1a and b) Statutory Consultation – comment analysis

1. Themes, across all 10 schools (and by supporter / objector)
2. Themes, by school (and by supporter / objector)

	Support		Support / Object		Don't know	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Support. Safer for children - with less traffic congestion, speeding & pollution.	222	56%	1	0%	2	10%
Object. Problems for residents & drivers - incl deliveries & services. Poor design and planning	1	0%	153	50%	1	5%
Object. Displaces traffic congestion, adds to pollution on other roads. Who benefits?	0	0%	107	35%	0	0%
Support. Stops obstructive & careless parking, improves cycling and walking environment	93	23%	0	0%	0	0%
No comments	29	7%	2	1%	9	43%
Support in principle, but concerned about access problems and congestion in surrounding roads	36	9%	1	0%	2	10%
Don't object in principle but do object to lack of consideration for impact on residents	0	0%	22	7%	5	24%
Object. Access problems for elderly, disabled and others needing services/carers/family visits	1	0%	17	6%	0	0%
Support, but scheme needs to include additional roads	16	4%	0	0%	2	10%
Total	398	100%	303	100%	21	100%

Level 1S River Park House
225 High Road,
London N22 8HQ

020 8489 1000

				Support / Object		
				Support Count	Object Count	Don't know Count
School Name	Rokesly Junior (& nursery)	Cat.	Support. Safer for children - with less traffic congestion, speeding & pollution.	74	1	0
			Object. Problems for residents & drivers - incl deliveries & services. Poor design and planning	0	60	1
			Object. Displaces traffic congestion, adds to pollution on other roads. Who benefits?	0	39	0
			Support. Stops obstructive & careless parking, improves cycling and walking environment	24	0	0
			No comments	6	0	0
			Support in principal, but concerned about access problems and congestion in surrounding roads	6	0	1
			Don't object in principle but do object to lack of consideration for impact on residents	0	2	1
			Object. Access problems for elderly, disabled and others needing services/carers/family visits	0	2	0
			Support, but scheme needs to include additional roads	4	0	1
			Total	114	104	4
	Campsbourne	Cat.	Support. Safer for children - with less traffic congestion, speeding & pollution.	48	0	0
			Object. Problems for residents & drivers - incl deliveries & services. Poor design and planning	1	24	0
			Object. Displaces traffic congestion, adds to pollution on other roads. Who benefits?	0	33	0
			Support. Stops obstructive & careless parking, improves cycling and walking environment	23	0	0
			No comments	6	0	5
			Support in principal, but concerned about access problems and congestion in surrounding roads	13	1	1
			Don't object in principle but do object to lack of consideration for impact on residents	0	14	1
			Object. Access problems for elderly, disabled and others needing services/carers/family visits	0	4	0
			Support, but scheme needs to include additional roads	4	0	1
			Total	95	76	8
		Cat.	Support. Safer for children - with less traffic congestion, speeding & pollution.	44	0	1

Highgate Primary (Blanche Nevile)		Object. Problems for residents & drivers - incl deliveries & services. Poor design and planning	0	36	0
		Object. Displaces traffic congestion, adds to pollution on other roads. Who benefits?	0	24	0
		Support. Stops obstructive & careless parking, improves cycling and walking environment	12	0	0
		No comments	3	0	1
		Support in principal, but concerned about access problems and congestion in surrounding roads	5	0	0
		Don't object in principle but do object to lack of consideration for impact on residents	0	4	1
		Object. Access problems for elderly, disabled and others needing services/carers/family visits	1	7	0
		Support, but scheme needs to include additional roads	0	0	0
		Total	65	71	3
		Coldfall Primary	Cat.	Support. Safer for children - with less traffic congestion, speeding & pollution.	2
Object. Problems for residents & drivers - incl deliveries & services. Poor design and planning	0			4	0
Object. Displaces traffic congestion, adds to pollution on other roads. Who benefits?	0			3	0
Support. Stops obstructive & careless parking, improves cycling and walking environment	25			0	0
No comments	3			0	1
Support in principal, but concerned about access problems and congestion in surrounding roads	8			0	0
Don't object in principle but do object to lack of consideration for impact on residents	0			2	0
Object. Access problems for elderly, disabled and others needing services/carers/family visits	0			2	0
Support, but scheme needs to include additional roads	3			0	0
Total	41			11	1
Holy Trinity (Somerset Rd)	Cat.	Support. Safer for children - with less traffic congestion, speeding & pollution.	9	0	0
		Object. Problems for residents & drivers - incl deliveries & services. Poor design and planning	0	17	0
		Object. Displaces traffic congestion, adds to pollution on other roads. Who benefits?	0	1	0
		Support. Stops obstructive & careless parking, improves cycling and walking environment	1	0	0
		No comments	0	2	1

			Support in principal, but concerned about access problems and congestion in surrounding roads	0	0	0
			Don't object in principle but do object to lack of consideration for impact on residents	0	0	0
			Object. Access problems for elderly, disabled and others needing services/carers/family visits	0	1	0
			Support, but scheme needs to include additional roads	0	0	0
			Total	10	21	1
	Tiverton Primary	Cat.	Support. Safer for children - with less traffic congestion, speeding & pollution.	11	0	1
			Object. Problems for residents & drivers - incl deliveries & services. Poor design and planning	0	8	0
			Object. Displaces traffic congestion, adds to pollution on other roads. Who benefits?	0	0	0
			Support. Stops obstructive & careless parking, improves cycling and walking environment	2	0	0
			No comments	5	0	0
			Support in principal, but concerned about access problems and congestion in surrounding roads	0	0	0
			Don't object in principle but do object to lack of consideration for impact on residents	0	0	0
			Object. Access problems for elderly, disabled and others needing services/carers/family visits	0	0	0
			Support, but scheme needs to include additional roads	2	0	0
			Total	20	8	1
	St Paul's RC Primary	Cat.	Support. Safer for children - with less traffic congestion, speeding & pollution.	11	0	0
			Object. Problems for residents & drivers - incl deliveries & services. Poor design and planning	0	3	0
			Object. Displaces traffic congestion, adds to pollution on other roads. Who benefits?	0	3	0
			Support. Stops obstructive & careless parking, improves cycling and walking environment	0	0	0
			No comments	2	0	0
			Support in principal, but concerned about access problems and congestion in surrounding roads	2	0	0
			Don't object in principle but do object to lack of consideration for impact on residents	0	0	2
			Object. Access problems for elderly, disabled and others needing services/carers/family visits	0	0	0
			Support, but scheme needs to include additional roads	1	0	0

		Total	16	6	2
Welbourne Primary	Cat.	Support. Safer for children - with less traffic congestion, speeding & pollution.	16	0	0
		Object. Problems for residents & drivers - incl deliveries & services. Poor design and planning	0	0	0
		Object. Displaces traffic congestion, adds to pollution on other roads. Who benefits?	0	1	0
		Support. Stops obstructive & careless parking, improves cycling and walking environment	2	0	0
		No comments	2	0	0
		Support in principal, but concerned about access problems and congestion in surrounding roads	0	0	0
		Don't object in principle but do object to lack of consideration for impact on residents	0	0	0
		Object. Access problems for elderly, disabled and others needing services/carers/family visits	0	0	0
		Support, but scheme needs to include additional roads	1	0	0
		Total	21	1	0
Chestnuts	Cat.	Support. Safer for children - with less traffic congestion, speeding & pollution.	7	0	0
		Object. Problems for residents & drivers - incl deliveries & services. Poor design and planning	0	0	0
		Object. Displaces traffic congestion, adds to pollution on other roads. Who benefits?	0	1	0
		Support. Stops obstructive & careless parking, improves cycling and walking environment	2	0	0
		No comments	0	0	0
		Support in principal, but concerned about access problems and congestion in surrounding roads	1	0	0
		Don't object in principle but do object to lack of consideration for impact on residents	0	0	0
		Object. Access problems for elderly, disabled and others needing services/carers/family visits	0	1	0
		Support, but scheme needs to include additional roads	1	0	0
		Total	11	2	0
Earlsmead Primary	Cat.	Support. Safer for children - with less traffic congestion, speeding & pollution.	0	0	0
		Object. Problems for residents & drivers - incl deliveries & services. Poor design and planning	0	1	0
		Object. Displaces traffic congestion, adds to pollution on other roads. Who benefits?	0	2	0

		Support. Stops obstructive & careless parking, improves cycling and walking environment	2	0	0
		No comments	2	0	1
		Support in principal, but concerned about access problems and congestion in surrounding roads	1	0	0
		Don't object in principle but do object to lack of consideration for impact on residents	0	0	0
		Object. Access problems for elderly, disabled and others needing services/carers/family visits	0	0	0
		Support, but scheme needs to include additional roads	0	0	0
		Total	5	3	1